No. ID/FD/255/82/51326.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Kali Charan, and the management of M/s. Joy B. Industries, Plot No. 162 Sector 24, Faridabad, rgarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matters specified below, being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication :-

Whether the termination of service of Shri Kali Charan was justified and in order?. If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/191/82/51333.—Whereas the Governor of Haryane is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Jai Parkash and the management of M/s Talbors Automative Component: Ltd., 14/1, Mathura Road, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab-57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968, under section 7 of the said Act the matters specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication :-

Whether the termination of service of Shri Jai Parkash was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. 1D/FD/253/82/51340.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Ashok Kumar and the management of M/s Joy B. Industries, Plot No. 162, Sector 24, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matters specified below being either in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication :-

> Whether the termination of service of Shri Ashok Kumar was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. 1D/YMN/159/82/51347.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Mam Raj and the man gement of the M/s Bhardawaj Metal Industries, Jesico Colony Jagadhri, regarding the matter hereiraster appearing:

And where as the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) section 10. of the Industrial Disputes Act. 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad constituted.—vide Government n tification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matters specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:-

Whether the termination of services of Shri Mam Raj was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/YMN/161/82/51353.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Ranjeet and the management of M's Dalip Metal, Court Road, Jagadhrl, regarding the matter hereinaster appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3 Lab-58/15234, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter, specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication :-

> Whether the termination of services of Shri Ranjeet was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?